

Deon Gericke

From: Sonya Gillissen <sgillissen90@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, 16 October 2020 11:12
To: hes1@law.co.za; info@churchsquare.co.za; northgautengadv@gmail.com; reception@ioftusadv.co.za; stateattorneysPretoria@justice.co.za; admin@pabasa.co.za; admin@blaonline.org.za; gcb@mweb.co.za; nfa.advocates@gmail.com; gautengsocadv@gmail.com; tracy.nothnagel@gcbasa.co.za; admin@csadvocates.co.za; nccadmin@law.co.za; sue@lawcircle.co.za; management@clubadvocates.co.za; info@jaa.org.za; info@gaa.org.za; info@nationalbarcouncil.co.za; issa@issa.org.za; jhbadaadmin@law.co.za; jhbbar@law.co.za; ppv@ppv.co.za; ptabar@law.co.za; Pretoria-FA@justice.gov.za
Subject: Urgent Application roll and Directive thereto
Attachments: URGENT COURT DIRECTIVE.docx; URGENT ROLL FOR 20 OCTOBER 2020.docx

Good day,

KINDLY TAKE NOTICE:

The urgent court roll as prepared by the Registrar as well as the Directive dated 16 October 2020 have both now been distributed. Practitioners should identify their cases according to this roll and comply with the Directive regarding the filing of a **practice note before 18:00 today** (par 5 and 6 thereof) **via email to judge Fourie's clerk**. This practice note will then be used by the senior judge to do the allocation of matters whereafter separate rolls will be made available indicating which matters have been allocated to the judges. Failure to file a complete practice note (as required in the Directive) **via email timeously** may result in a matter not being allocated and then be struck off the roll.

Kindly also take notice that it is the duty of the parties and their legal representatives to ensure that matters are **properly uploaded onto Caselines, that there are no duplications and that the judges and their clerks have access to the correct files** on Caselines, **before the allocation is made**. Failure to comply with this duty **timeously** may result in a matter not being dealt with and then be struck off the roll without further notification.

Regards,

Sonya Gillissen



REPUBLIC OF SOUTH-AFRICA
THE HONOURABLE JUDGE DS FOURIE
HIGH COURT OF SOUTH AFRICA
GAUTENG DIVISION
P O Box 442, PRETORIA

16 October 2020

TO: ALL PRACTITIONERS

IN RE: IMPLEMENTATION OF THE JUDGE PRESIDENT'S DIRECTIVE DATED 18 SEPTEMBER 2020 FOR THE URGENT COURT OF 16 OCTOBER 2020 (16:00) TO 23 OCTOBER 2020 (16:00)

1. All applications which had already been enrolled by 12:00 on Thursday 15 October 2020 (when the roll closed) are indicated on the roll for Urgent Applications. Thereafter separate rolls will be distributed for Judge Fourie and Judge Hughes.
2. All applications should have already been uploaded on Caselines as required in terms of par. 161 of the JP's Directive of 18 September 2020. If an application has not been filed, i.e. fully uploaded, by 12:00 on Thursday 15 October 2020 (when the roll closed, and subject to the degrees of urgency), the application may not be heard and may be struck off the roll (Practice Directive, Annexure "A" to 13.24, par [4], sub par 3, p H2-139). Papers which have not been properly indexed and paginated also run the risk of being struck off the roll.
3. In all matters (opposed and unopposed) a Practice Note as well as Heads of Argument must also be served and filed by all parties concerned as one of the

“alternative electronic means” referred to in paragraph 158 of the Directive of 18 September 2020. The application will then be adjudicated *“on paper only”* (i.e. without an oral hearing), unless there is a written request for an oral hearing which must then be clearly set out in the practice note (to be filed on Friday 16 October 2020 as indicated below). Oral hearings will be conducted via Microsoft Teams, subject to a time limitation, if necessary.

4. In such a case the oral submissions must be summarised in a second or further practice note to give an indication of what further submissions, other than those already made in the heads of argument, need to be made orally. This second or further practice note must be filed together with the heads of argument. Failure to file Practice Note(s) and/or Heads of Argument timeously, will be an indication that there is no appearance for the party concerned and the application may then either be struck off the roll or be dealt with in the absence of such party.
5. The first practice note (to be filed on Friday) must indicate at least the following:
 - 5.1 Particulars and contact details of the legal practitioners;
 - 5.2 Nature of the relief sought (without referring to the notice of motion);
 - 5.3 Total number of pages;
 - 5.5 A brief summary of the factual as well as legal issues involved;
 - 5.4 A clear indication (without referring to the heads of argument) which portion of the papers must be read and which not;
 - 5.5 The main issues to be considered;
 - 5.6 A brief summary of the urgency or absence thereof;
 - 5.7 Whether there was service by the sheriff, and if not, why not (unopposed applications);
 - 5.8 Estimated duration (for oral hearings).

Failure to file a practice note setting out all the above information, par by par individually, may result in the application be struck off the roll or a punitive cost order be granted.

6. The first Practice Note must be served and filed by no later than Friday 16 October 2020 at 18:00 and the Heads of Argument (and second practice note, if applicable) by no later than Monday 19 October 2020 at 12:00. The applicant must also set out the reasons, in the Heads of Argument, why the matter is so urgent that it must be heard during the week concerned, by referring to the evidence in the founding affidavit. In unopposed matters the applicant must also indicate, in the practice note, where in the court papers the Sheriff's return of service is to be found.
7. The Practice Directive regarding Urgent Applications (Chapter 13.24) will still apply (to opposed and unopposed applications) and the Rules pertaining to urgency will be strictly enforced. Compliance with annexure "A" (13.24), more particularly par [4], subparagraphs (1) to (11) thereof, as referred to in paragraph 11 of Chapter 13.24 of the Practice Manual, will also be strictly enforced. If a matter is not ready to be adjudicated on the date and the time indicated in the notice of motion (subject to the degrees of urgency) and/or not to be so urgent as indicated in the Rules and the Practice Directive, it may be struck off the roll in which event a punitive costs order, as indicated in paragraph 165 of the Directive of 18 September 2020, may be made.
8. All new applications, after hours or during court hours, must first be brought to the attention of Judge Fourie's clerk. In this regard the provisions of paragraph 7.1 to 7.4 of Chapter 13.24 of the Practice Manual will apply *mutatis mutandis*. All the provisions regarding the Practice Note and Heads of Argument referred to above, will apply *mutatis mutandis* to all new applications. All Rules and principles regarding urgency will also apply to these applications and will be strictly enforced. The urgent Court cellular number for Pretoria is: 065 859 4819.
9. Filing of papers which were impossible to upload on Caselines, must be done via email to the Judges' clerks, with an affidavit explaining what steps were

taken to upload, when and by whom the steps were taken and why it was not possible to upload on Caselines. The judge's clerk must then be informed telephonically that an email has been sent.

Particulars of the clerk of Judge Fourie are as follows:

Ms S Gillissen – Cell number: 065 859 4819

Email: sgillissen90@gmail.com

sgillissen@judiciary.org.za (for invites on caselines)

Particulars of the clerk of Judge Hughes are as follows:

Ms K Ramokoka – Cell number: 083 444 5202

Email: kgomzarams@gmail.com

Kramokoka@judiciary.org.za (for invites in caselines)

10. It should finally be pointed out that there is a growing tendency (especially during the lockdown period) to abuse the urgent court in two ways: First, to set matters down which are not ripe for hearing, not being urgent or where self-created urgency is applicable. This will not be allowed. Second, the urgent court is not intended to hear complex factual and/or legal issues scattered over hundreds of pages and which may take a long time to finalise (where new matters may also be encountered on a daily basis). This will prevent other parties having their matters be adjudicated in time. Such complex cases may be removed from the roll and the parties be referred to the Deputy Judge president to be allocated a special court at some time in future (cf Practice Manual, annexure “A” to 13.24, par [4] sub par (10) and (11), page H2-141).
11. All practitioners are requested to prepare a draft court order by using “MS Word format” and to email it to the Judge’s clerk.

DS FOURIE
JUDGE OF THE HIGH COURT
PRETORIA

GAUTENG HIGH COURT, PRETORIA**URGENT ROLL:20 October 2020****JUDGE: FOURIE****JUDGE: HUGHES**

No	Parties	Case number
1	TOSACO (PTY) LTD VS COMMISSIONER FOR THE SARS	48895/2020
2	SOUTH AFRICAN LEGAL PRACTICE COUNCIL VS KARABO M MOKOENA & M KARABO INC	49286/2020
3	MAKHUBELA MAKHUSHE MAKHATSHWA VS SILINDA M THEMBINKOSI NO.	43599/2019
4	JR 209 INVESTMENTS + 4 VS HOMELESS PEOPLE HOUSING + 6	49668/2020 REMOVED
5	JONCK CHRISTIAN JACOBUS VS SCHOLTZ BERNICE + 1	50240/2020
6	SURFACE PREPARATIONS , EQUIPMENT &COATINGS VS SWANSA + 4	51113/2020
7	EMBREY LEPHADI VS BOIPELO LEPHADI	36107/2020
8	SMEC SOUTH AFRICA (PTY) LTD VS COUNCIL FOR GEOSCIENCE & MINISTER OF FINANCE	51751/2020
9	BIGDAY CO(PTY) LTD + 2 VS BLACKDEAR (PTY) LTD + 3	52004/2020 REMOVED
10	MADIBENG LOCAL MUNICIPALITY VS ILLEGAL LAND INVADERS NO.	51837/2020
11	EWALDA AGRELLA VS GERHARDUS M REUBEN JANSE VAN RENSBURG	30513/2020

12	LIZANE KLOVER NO VS LE ROUX& DU PLESSIS ATTORNEYS NO.	52535/2020
13	THEMBA PETROS SISHANGE + 1 VS MINISTER OF DEFENCE & MILITARY	52508/2020
14	ANTHONY BRUCE TURNER EXPARTE	52560/2020
15	TAMMY TAYLOR SA FRANCHISING (PTY) LTD VS TARYN LEE DUNNETT	53517/2020
16	MOHAMED SHAHID MOHAMED IQBAL SHAIKH + 1 VS V CELL CLINIX (PTY) LTD + 1	53155/2020
17	SUZETTE RUTHVEN EXPARTE	53200/2020 REMOVED
18	RAF VS ABSA BANK LTD NO.	52865/2020
19	MAHLATSE ERNEST MODULA VS MINISTER OF DEFENCE & MILITARY VETERANS	50151/2020
20	JR 209 INVETMENTS (PTY) LTD + 4 VS HOMELESS PEOPLE HOUSING COOPERATIVE + 6	49668/2020
21	REGISTRAR OF MEDICAL SCHEMES VS KEY HEALTH MEDICAL SCHEMES	35478/2020
22	LILLIAN CHANTER MOHANE VS PATRICK FREDERICK MOGALE	48212/2020
23	NICOLENE VAN DER MERWE VS MORNE CECIL VAN DER MERWE	53805/2020
24	KETUL PANJAK DOCTOR NO. VS DEPARTMENT OF HOME AFFAIRS + 3	53039/2020
25	ANNA PROTIA SKOSANA + 3 VS RAF	52678/2020 REMOVED

26	ANNA PONTA SKOSANA + 1 VS RAF	52678/2020
27	N P MNISI VS MASTER OF HIGH COURT PRETORIA	54130/2020
28	SOUTH AFRICAN LEGAL COUNCIL VS VAN DEN HEEVER ATTORNEYS	54134/2020
29	DISA VASCULAR DISTRIBUTION VS NELMARI KINGBIEL + 1	50693/2020
30	VERONICA TEJOFOR + 1 VS MINISTER OF INTERNATIONAL RELATIONS + 2	43020/2020
31	RSM FOUNDRY (PTY) LTD VS EXPARTE	34383/2020
32	HAZEL VENTURES (PTY) LTD VS GAUTENG PROVINCIAL	51599/2020
33	NKGOELE CONRAD TEFFO + 5 VS STEPHINA TEFO NO.	82577/2019
34	MOHLAKWANA CIVILS& ENGINEERING + 1 VS EXXARO LTD + 3	49936/2020
35	BULAYI THANDI TRUILER VS MICHAEL MOTSOENENG BILL	50357/2020
36	WESSEL STUCKI	54505/2020
37	KEITUMETSE SEIPELO THANDEKA MATTHEWS VS CHARLES FARAI CHIKU + 13	54434/2020
38	LUQMAAN PATEL VS NIRCO + 4	24253/2020
39		
40		

41		
42		
43		
44		
45		
46		
47		
48		
49		
50		
51		
52		
53		